

1 GABRIEL M.B. ROSS (State Bar No. 224528)
2 SARA A. CLARK (State Bar No. 273600)
3 SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
396 Hayes Street
San Francisco, California 94102
4 Telephone: (415) 552-7272
Facsimile: (415) 552-5816
5 Ross@smwlaw.com
Clark@smwlaw.com

6 Attorneys for Petitioners Hills For Everyone,
7 Friends of Harbors, Beaches and Parks,
California Native Plant Society and Sierra
8 Club

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **COUNTY OF ORANGE, CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER**

12 HILLS FOR EVERYONE, et al.,
13 Petitioners,

14 v.

15 CITY OF BREA, et al.,
16 Respondents.

17 OSLIC HOLDINGS, LLC, and DOES 1-
18 20,
19 Real Parties in Interest.

Case No. 30-2014-00731930-CU-WM-
CXC

~~PROPOSED~~ PEREMPTORY WRIT
OF MANDATE

CCP §§ 1094.5, 1085; Public Resources
Code § 21000 et seq. ("CEQA"); State
Planning & Zoning Law, Gov't Code §
65300; Subdivision Map Act, Gov't Code
§ 66410 et seq.

Assigned for all purposes to Hon. William
Claster; Dept. CX102

Action Filed: July 1, 2014

1 TO: Respondents City of Brea and City Council of the City of Brea (collectively,
2 “City”).

3 The Court having entered a judgment in this proceeding directing that a peremptory
4 writ of mandate issue from this Court,

5 YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to comply with the following:

6 1. Within forty-five (45) days of the service of this writ, the City shall set aside
7 Resolution Nos. 2014-039 and 2014-040.

8 2. Further, the City shall refrain from granting any discretionary approvals for the
9 property described in Resolutions Nos. 2014-039 and 20140-040 unless and until the Real
10 Party in Interest and/or any subsequent property owner (a) withdraws the presently pending
11 vesting tentative tract map and development review application for the Madrona Project
12 and (b) submits a new application for a project that is consistent with the City’s current
13 General Plan.

14 3. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.5, subdivision (f), this Court
15 does not direct the City to exercise its lawful discretion in any particular way.

16 4. Under Code of Civil Procedure section 1097, this Court will retain jurisdiction
17 over the City’s proceedings related to this Project by way of a return to this writ until the
18 Court has determined that the City has repealed Resolution Nos. 2014-039 and 2014-040
19 and the Real Party in Interest or any subsequent property owner has withdrawn the
20 presently pending vesting tentative tract map and development review application for the
21 Madrona Project.

22 You are further commanded to make and file a return to this writ within sixty (60)
23 days from the date a copy of this writ is served on you, showing what you have done to
24 comply with this writ. Subsequent returns to the writ shall occur every 180 days thereafter.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Witness the Honorable William Claster, Judge of the Superior Court. Attest my hand
and the seal of this Court this 5th day of July, 2018.

William D. Claster
Clerk William D. Claster



By: _____
~~Deputy Clerk~~